

### CALIFORNIA STATE PARKS





# COME OUT AND PLAY!











OHMVR Commission Meeting January 22, 2005

### **Commission Roles & Decisions**


Commission roles in the proposed grant evaluation process:



- Commission votes and adopts preliminary funding levels for CESA (Conservation, Enforcement, Restoration) and Non-CESA.
- Commission votes and adopts grant evaluation criteria and point scoring system.
- Commission votes and adopts funding guidelines.



**Step 1:** Each OHMVR Commissioner outlines and discusses their individual priorities for funding levels for the Conservation and Enforcement Services Account (CESA) and Non-CESA funding categories for the 2005-2006 Grant Cycle.






**Step 2:** The public (in "workshop" format) provide comment to the OHMVR Commission on the funding levels and priorities identified in Step 1.





**Step 3:** The OHMVR Commission votes and adopts Conservation, Enforcement, Restoration and Non-CESA funding levels for the 2005-2006 Grant Cycle.



**Step 4:** The OHMVR Commission develops evaluation criteria for each project type based on the priorities established in Step 3 and Commission established guiding policies.

### **Example**

### **Commission Policy**

 A designated system of well-maintained and well-managed roads, trails and areas.

### **Commission Criteria**

 Prevents erosion and protects the natural environment.



Step 5: The OHMVR Commission develops the individual points possible for each evaluation criteria, the total points possible for each project type, and the guidelines for funding recommendations.

SCORING SHEET FOR OR-955 Green Acres County - Enforcement

#### LAW ENFORCEMENT PROJECTS

Cntena	Points Possible	Project Score
The project emphasizes areas with high use levels.	20	10
The project reduces significant resource damage.	30	15
The project emphasizes reduction of wilderness/closed area wilderness intrusion.	30	10
The project emphasizes areas with use conflict or the potential for conflict.	15	10
The project emphasizes the reduction of private property trespass.	25	5
Project Efficiency: the project offers efficient use of funds, and costs are clearly associated with each activity proposed.	15	10
Project Success: the project demonstrates how law enforcement efforts are going to gain compliance with the CVC, including at a minimum spark arrestor, registration, noise, resource damage, trespass, and DUI.	15	10
TOTAL	150	70

Droject Score


Dointe

**Step 6:** The public provides input to the OHMVR Commission on:

- The evaluation criteria developed in Step 4;
- The number of points possible for each evaluation criteria; and
- The total points possible for a project type developed in Step 5.



**Step 7:** The OHMVR Commission votes and adopts evaluation criteria for each project type and the point scoring system.





**Step 8:** The local assistance grants and cooperative agreements are submitted by the deadline to the OHMVR Division.

- Late or incomplete applications are rejected and returned to the applicant.
- Applications are screened by the OHMVR Division staff based on compliance with regulatory requirements.
- Applications not meeting all of the regulatory requirements are returned to the applicant.
- Applications meeting the regulatory requirements are forwarded to an evaluation panel.



# **Step 9:** Applications are evaluated by a panel of at least 5 people.

- The evaluation panel is determined by the Deputy
   Director of the OHMVR Division in collaboration with the
   Chair of the OHMVR Commission.
- A five member panel would consist of: 2 OHMVR Commissioners, 2 Division Staff, and 1 Public Participant.
- A seven member Panel would consist of: 2 Commissioners, 3 Division Staff, and 2 Public Participants.

**Step 10:** The panel evaluates, scores, and ranks all accepted applications.

Panel uses OHMVR Commission developed:

- Criteria; and
- Point scoring system

to evaluate, score and rank all applications.

#### SCORING SHEET FOR OR-955 Green Acres County - Enforcement

#### LAW ENFORCEMENT PROJECTS

Criteria	Points Possible	Project Score
The project emphasizes areas with high use levels.	20	10
The project reduces significant resource damage.	30	15
The project emphasizes reduction of wilderness/closed area wilderness intrusion.	30	10
The project emphasizes areas with use conflict or the potential for conflict.	15	10
The project emphasizes the reduction of private property trespass.	25	5
Project Efficiency: the project offers efficient use of funds, and costs are clearly associated with each activity proposed.	15	10
Project Success: the project demonstrates how law enforcement efforts are going to gain compliance with the CVC, including at a minimum spark arrestor, registration, noise, resource damage, trespass, and DUI.	15	10
TOTAL	150	70



**Step 11:** The OHMVR Commission determines how the scored and ranked applications developed by the panel will receive preliminary/advisory funding determinations:

- Option A: The OHMVR Commission at the public summer meetings determines preliminary funding for scored and ranked applications for submission to the final Commission meetings.
- Option B: The evaluation panel determines advisory funding for scored applications for the public summer Commission Meetings.

**Step 12:** At the public summer meeting the OHMVR Commission receives input from the public and the applicants to:

- 1.) Determine preliminary funding levels or
- 2.) Evaluate the panels advisory funding levels

to develop a consent calendar for the final public meetings in the fall.

### LAW ENFORCEMENT PROJECTS PANEL SCORING AND RANKING RESULTS

#### TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS = 150

Number	Applicant	Score	Rank	Requested Funding	Preliminary/Advisory Funding
OR-999	ATV Field Office – Enforcement	148	1	\$250,000	
OR-978	Butterfly Ranger District – Enforcement	142	2	\$170,000	
OR-903	Apple Field Office – Enforcement	140	3	\$100,000	
OR-910	Orange Ranger District - Enforcement	138	4	\$80,000	
OR-930	Treasure County - Enforcement	120	5	\$200,000	
OR-918	Mountain Field Office – Enforcement	100	6	\$190,000	
OR-931	Seed County – Enforcement	97	7	\$60,000	
OR-940	Daisy City – Enforcement	95	8	\$250,000	
OR-923	Camp County - Enforcement	92	9	\$110,000	
OR-978	Striped Owl Field Office – Enforcement	90	10	\$70,000	
OR-955	Green Acres County – Enforcement	70	11	\$120,000	
OR-900	Desert County – Enforcement	69	12	\$130,000	
OR-925	Sand Field Office – Enforcement	50	13	\$270,000	

**Step 13:** The OHMVR Commission has the flexibility to adjust the panels score and ranking to make final funding decisions.

The adjustments can be made either by

- 1.) Rescoring the application using the criteria, or
- 2.) Assigning bonus points based on Commission determined criteria,

to make final funding decisions.

#### SCORING SHEET FOR OR-955 Green Acres County - Enforcement

#### LAW ENFORCEMENT PROJECTS

Criteria	Points Possible	Project Score
The project emphasizes areas with high use levels.	20	10
The project reduces significant resource damage.	30	15
The project emphasizes reduction of wilderness/closed area wilderness intrusion.	30	10
The project emphasizes areas with use conflict or the potential for conflict.	15	10
The project emphasizes the reduction of private property trespass.	25	5
Project Efficiency: the project offers efficient use of funds, and costs are clearly associated with each activity proposed.	15	10
Project Success: the project demonstrates how law enforcement efforts are going to gain compliance with the CVC, including at a minimum spark arrestor, registration, noise, resource damage, trespass, and DUI.	15	10
TOTAL	150	70

### LAW ENFORCEMENT PROJECTS PANEL SCORING AND RANKING RESULTS

#### **TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS = 150**

Number	Applicant	Score	Rank
OR-999	ATV Field Office – Enforcement	148	1
OR-978	Butterfly Ranger District – Enforcement	142	2
OR-903	Apple Field Office – Enforcement	140	3
OR-910	Orange Ranger District – Enforcement	138	4
OR-930	Treasure County - Enforcement	120	5
OR-918	Mountain Field Office – Enforcement	100	6
OR-931	Seed County – Enforcement	97	7
OR-940	Daisy City - Enforcement	95	8
OR-923	Camp County – Enforcement	92	9
OR-978	Striped Owl Field Office – Enforcement	90	10
OR-955	Green Acres County – Enforcement	70	11
OR-900	Desert County - Enforcement	69	12
OR-925	Sand Field Office - Enforcement	50	13

#### SCORING SHEET FOR OR-955 Green Acres County - Enforcement

#### LAW ENFORCEMENT PROJECTS

Criteria	Points Possible	Project Score
The project emphasizes areas with high use levels.	20	10
The project reduces significant resource damage.	30	18
The project emphasizes reduction of wilderness/closed area wilderness intrusion.	30	20
The project emphasizes areas with use conflict or the potential for conflict.	15	10
The project emphasizes the reduction of private property trespass.	25	15
Project Efficiency: the project offers efficient use of funds, and costs are clearly associated with each activity proposed.	15	10
Project Success: the project demonstrates how law enforcement efforts are going to gain compliance with the CVC, including at a minimum spark arrestor, registration, noise, resource damage, trespass, and DUI.	15	10
TOTAL	150	93

### LAW ENFORCEMENT PROJECTS PANEL SCORING AND RANKING RESULTS

#### **TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS = 150**

Number	Number Applicant		Applicant Score		Rank	
OR-999	ATV Field Office – Enforcement	148	1			
OR-978	Butterfly Ranger District – Enforcement	142	2			
OR-903	Apple Field Office – Enforcement	140	3			
OR-910	Orange Ranger District – Enforcement	138	4			
OR-930	Treasure County - Enforcement	120	5			
OR-918	Mountain Field Office – Enforcement	100	6			
OR-931	Seed County – Enforcement	97	7			
OR-940	Daisy City – Enforcement	95	8			
OR-955	Green Acres County - Enforcement	93	9			
OR-923	Camp County – Enforcement	92	10			
OR-978	Striped Owl Field Office – Enforcement	90	11 —			
OR-900	Desert County – Enforcement	69	12			
OR-925	Sand Field Office - Enforcement	50	13			

#### SCORING SHEET FOR OR-955 Green Acres County - Enforcement

LAW ENFORCEMENT PROJECTS		
Criteria	Points Possible	Project Score
The project emphasizes areas with high use levels.	20	10
The project reduces significant resource damage.	30	15
The project emphasizes reduction of wilderness/closed area wilderness intrusion.	30	10
The project emphasizes areas with use conflict or the potential for conflict.	15	10
The project emphasizes the reduction of private property trespass.	25	5
Project Efficiency: the project offers efficient use of funds, and costs are clearly associated with each activity proposed.	15	10
Project Success: the project demonstrates how law enforcement efforts are going to gain compliance with the CVC, including at a minimum spark arrestor, registration, noise, resource damage, trespass, and DUI.	15	10
TOTAL	150	70
Bonus Point Criteria = Public Input	+ or - 30	93

### LAW ENFORCEMENT PROJECTS PANEL SCORING AND RANKING RESULTS

#### **TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS = 150**

Number	Number Applicant		Applicant Score		Rank	
OR-999	ATV Field Office – Enforcement	148	1			
OR-978	Butterfly Ranger District – Enforcement	142	2			
OR-903	Apple Field Office – Enforcement	140	3			
OR-910	Orange Ranger District – Enforcement	138	4			
OR-930	Treasure County - Enforcement	120	5			
OR-918	Mountain Field Office – Enforcement	100	6			
OR-931	Seed County – Enforcement	97	7			
OR-940	Daisy City – Enforcement	95	8			
OR-955	Green Acres County - Enforcement	93	9			
OR-923	Camp County – Enforcement	92	10			
OR-978	Striped Owl Field Office – Enforcement	90	11 —			
OR-900	Desert County – Enforcement	69	12			
OR-925	Sand Field Office - Enforcement	50	13			



# CALIFORNIA STATE PARKS

OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLE RECREATION DIVISION



## **Fall Commission Meetings**





Priority Driven Process

Applications developed with specific Commission priorities in mind

Quality Applications

Increased number of applications on consent

Possible shorter meeting due to investment of time up front